(19) World Intellectual Property Organization International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date 19 September 2002 (19.09.2002)

(10) International Publication Number WO 02/073156 A2

(51) International Patent Classification7:

- (21) International Application Number: PCT/IB02/00701
- (22) International Filing Date: 5 March 2002 (05.03,2002)
- (25) Filing Language:

English

G01N

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data: 01200937.9

V 13 March 2001 (13.03.2001)

- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): KONIN-KLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V. [NL/NL]; Groenewoudseweg 1, NL-5621 BA Eindhoven (NL).
- (72) Inventor; and
- (75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): VAN DEN HOOGEN-HOF, Waltherus, W. [NL/NL]; Prof. NL-5656 AA Eindhoven (NL).

- (74) Agent: BAKKER, Hendrik; Internationaal Octrooibureau B.V., Prof. Holstlaan 6, NL-5656 AA Eindhoven (NL).
- (81) Designated States (national): JP, US.
- (84) Designated States (regional): European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR).

Published:

without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: SAMPLE CONTAINER, MEASURING DEVICE AND METHOD FOR X-RAY ANALYSIS OF LIQUIDS

(57) Abstract: The partial evaporation of the sample liquid during X-ray analysis of liquids gives rise to problems, since the quantity of the sample liquid does not remain constant and evaporated gas is liable to invade the measuring paths in which it may lead to measurement falsifications. In order to solve this problem, there is provided a sample container for receiving a sample liquid, which container leaves open an opening at its top, and a cover is placed on the free surface of the sample liquid. The cover is not rigidly connected to the wall of the sample container and, therefore, allows pressure equalization. Evaporation phenomena are almost completely precluded as a result of the covering of the liquid surface.

WO 02/073156

10

15

20

25

Sample container, measuring device and method for X-ray analysis of liquids

The invention relates to a method for the analysis of liquids by means of X-ray spectrometry, in which method a liquid sample is introduced into a sample container provided with an opening at its top, the sample container is positioned in a sample holder and the liquid sample is analyzed. The invention also relates to a corresponding measuring device as well as to a sample container in conformity with the introductory part of claim 1 and used to carry out the method.

1

PCT/IB02/00701

In practical X-ray analysis use is made of known sample containers in which liquid samples can be introduced so as to be examined via a zone of the container wall which is transparent to X-rays, said zone usually being the very thin bottom of the container. A problematic aspect of such analyses is that, due to the evaporation of the sample liquid, the quantity of sample does not remain constant during the measurement. Moreover, the evaporated gas components are liable to invade the optical path between the sample and the X-ray source or the detector, thus falsifying the results. Therefore, it would be desirable to use completely closed sample containers. Unfortunately, such sample containers cannot be used for such measurements, because the measurements usually must be performed under reduced pressure, so that container an excess pressure relative to the surroundings would arise in a closed sample container. This would lead to destruction of the sample container, because the walls thereof must at least locally be constructed so as to be extremely thin for X-ray analysis, so that they break already in the case of very small pressure differences.

US 5,351,281 discloses a sample container in which the opening at the top is closed by means of a microporous film which prevents the escape of possibly undesirable substances of the sample from the container, but still allows a gas exchange so that the pressure differences capable of destroying the container cannot occur between the sample and the surroundings. However, containers of this kind do not prevent the evaporation of sample material and, therefore, are not very well suitable either for the analysis of very volatile liquid samples in particular.

It is an object of the invention to provide a method for the X-ray analysis of liquids, a corresponding measuring device as well as a sample container to be used therein, which method, device and container enable a reduction of the evaporation of sample material

WO 02/073156 PCT/IB02/00701

2

and also the execution of measurements at different pressures out without giving rise to destruction.

This object is achieved in accordance with the invention by means of a sample container as disclosed in the characterizing part of claim 1, a measuring device as disclosed in the characterizing part of claim 7, and a method as disclosed in the characterizing part of claim 8.

Because of the use of a cover which is arranged on the free surface of the liquid sample in the sample container, no convection from the liquid surface takes place at this area so that evaporation is reduced to a high degree. This holds notably when the cover is constructed so as to be impervious to gas.

(E.

(· · ·)

10

15

20

25

30

Because the cover preferably covers essentially the entire free surface of the liquid sample, and hence is only slightly smaller than the top opening of the container wall, and is not rigidly connected to the container wall, pressure differences can be equalized without giving rise to excess pressures or reduced pressures in the sample container and hence to tears. Preferably, use is made of a cover which has a diameter slightly smaller than that of the container opening and is freely movable relative to the container wall. However, a cover which corresponds approximately to the container opening and is attached to the container wall is also feasible when it is flexible and has a small opening for pressure equalization.

In order to ensure that the entire liquid sample is available for the measurement and that the quantity of sample does not change, the cover should not be absorbent, that is, it should not absorb sample material. From a manufacturing point of view it is advantageous to form the cover as a foil which preferably consists of a synthetic material such as polypropylene. However, the cover may also be formed as a sheet, as a cushion or as a foam.

Evaporation can be precluded practically completely by covering the liquid sample by means of a cover in accordance with the invention. The X-ray analyses then performed are much more accurate and faster. Even when evaporation cannot be precluded for one hundred percent, the evaporation gas remains at least substantially under the cover and hence does not reach the surroundings, notably the measuring paths.

The development in accordance with the invention, therefore, enables significant improvement of X-ray analysis of liquids. Moreover, the sample containers in accordance with the invention can be manufactured in a rather uncomplicated and hence extremely economical fashion.

CLAIMS:

5

15

25.

r :

- 1. A sample container for the X-ray analysis of liquids, which container comprises a container wall which forms a cavity for receiving a liquid sample, the container wall being constructed so as to be at least locally transparent to X-rays and leaving open an opening at the top, characterized in that there is provided a cover which is to be arranged on the free surface of the liquid sample and is not rigidly connected to the container wall.
- 2. A sample container as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that the cover is at least essentially impervious to gas.
- 10 3. A sample container as claimed in claim 1 or 2, characterized in that the cover is not absorbent.
 - 4. A sample container as claimed in one of the claims 1 to 3, characterized in that the cover is a foil.
 - 5. A sample container as claimed in one of the claims 1 to 4, characterized in that the cover consists of a polypropylene material.
- 6. A sample container as claimed in one of the claims 1 to 5, characterized in that
 the cover is slightly smaller than the opening at the top of the container wall.
 - 7. A measuring device which includes an X-ray spectrometer for the analysis of a liquid sample accommodated in a sample container, characterized in that it includes a sample container as claimed in one of the claims 1 to 6.
 - 8. A method for the analysis of liquids by means of X-ray spectrometry, in which method a liquid sample is introduced into a sample container comprising a container wall which leaves open an opening at the top, the sample container being positioned in a sample holder and the liquid sample being analyzed, characterized in that prior to the analysis a

cover which is freely movable relative to the container walls is provided on the free surface of the liquid sample.

9. A method as claimed in claim 8, characterized in that use is made of a sample bolder with a cover as claimed in one of the claims 1 to 6.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	PCT				
INTERNATIONAAL OCTROOIBUREAU B.V. Attn. Bakker, Hendrik Prof. Holstlaan 6 NL-5656 AA Eindhoven NETHERLANDS	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT OR THE DECLARATION (PCT Rule 44.1)				
COHE	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 02/12/2002				
Applicant's or agent's file reference PHNL010126W0 .	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below				
International application No. PCT/IB 02/00701	International filing date (day/month/year) 05/03/2002				
Applicant KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.					
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46): When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Fascimile No.: (41–22) 740.14.35 For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. 2. The applicant is hereby notified that no International Search Report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect is transmitted herewith. 3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. 4. Further action(s): The applicant is reminded of the following: Shortly after 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the international Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the					
Within 19 months from the priority date, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later). Within 20 months from the priority date, the applicant must perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before all designated Offices which have not been elected in the demand or in a later election within 19 months from the					
before all designated Offices which have not been elected in the demand or in a later election within 19 months from the priority date or could not be elected because they are not bound by Chapter II. Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nI, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016					

Form PCT/ISA/220 (July 1998)

		•
•		*
		•
		•
	•	
•		

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

PHNL 010126 WO

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international pbulication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been fis filed, see below.

How?

(;

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

				=
				-
				*
				(
				-
		•		
			•	
•				

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers;
 claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]:
 "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- 3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 - "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

it must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

	-
	÷.
	(

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PHNL010126W0	FOR FURTHER see Notification (Form PCT/ISA/2	of Transmittal of International Search Report 220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/IB 02/00701	05/03/2002	13/03/2001
pplicant		
CONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELEC	TRONICS N.V.	
This International Search Report has be according to Article 18. A copy is being	een prepared by this International Searching Autransmitted to the International Bureau.	thority and is transmitted to the applicant
This International Search Report consis	sts of a total of3 sheets. by a copy of each prior art document cited in thi	s report.
Basis of the report		
 a. With regard to the language, the language in which it was filed, 	ne international search was carried out on the ba unless otherwise indicated under this item.	asis of the international application in the
the international search Authority (Rule 23.1(b)	n was carried out on the basis of a translation of).	the international application furnished to this
was carried out on the basis of	the sequence listing:	international application, the international search
	ational application in written form.	
filed together with the i	nternational application in computer readable fo	rm.
furnished subsequently	to this Authority in written form.	
	to this Authority in computer readble form.	
international applicatio	subsequently furnished written sequence listing n as filed has been furnished.	
the statement that the furnished	information recorded in computer readable form	is identical to the written sequence listing has been
2. Certain claims were 1	ound unsearchable (See Box I).	
3. Unity of invention is	lacking (see Box II).	
		•
4. With regard to the title,	•	
the text is approved as	s submitted by the applicant.	
The text has been esta	blished by this Authority to read as follows:	
SAMPLE CONTAINER WIT	'H FLOATING COVER FOR X-RAY A	NALYSIS OF LIQUIDS
5. With regard to the abstract,		
the text has been esta	s submitted by the applicant. Iblished, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Autho I the date of mailing of this international search r	ority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, eport, submit comments to this Authority.
	published with the abstract is Figure No.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
as suggested by the a		X None of the figures.
	failed to suggest a figure.	<u> </u>
=		
Decause this rigure be	etter characterizes the invention.	

	•
	:
	£

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/IB 02/00701

A US 5 703 927 A (URBANO ROLAND ET AL) 30 December 1997 (1997-12-30) column 1, line 34 -column 2, line 67 column 5, line 34-45 A US 5 630 989 A (SOLAZZI MONTE J) 20 May 1997 (1997-05-20) column 4, line 12-17 column 5, line 12-39 claims 1-3; figures 1,3 A US 5 215 701 A (GOULD ARNOLD S ET AL) 1 June 1993 (1993-06-01) column 2, line 50-63; figure 1 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. * Special categories of cited documents. *Ar document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance. **E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date 1**Cocument which may throw doubts on proofity claim(s) or which is clied to establish the publication date of another of other means **Pr document published on or after the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed **Cocument of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to or involve an inventive step when the document of cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document on cannot be considered to row or comments. Such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. **Cocument of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to row or inventive step when the document on actinular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document on cannot be considered to row or comments. Such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. **Cocument of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to row or comments are diseased to row or comments. Such comments are diseased to recommend the proof of the international filing date but later than the priority date and not in conflict with the application but or considered to row or considered to row or comments. Such considered note of row or the considered to row or considered note or considered to row or constitution or the international filing date but later than the prio	A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 G01N23/20						
B. PELEOS SEARCHED Minimum occurrentation searched (idassification system followed by dissification symbols) Documentation searched other than minimum docurrentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during line international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal, WPI Data C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Category* Cristion of occument, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to datin No. 3.0 December 1997 (1997-12-30) column 1, 1 fine 34 - column 2, 1 fine 67 column 5, 1 fine 34 - 45 olumn 2, 1 fine 67 2.0 May 1997 (1997-05-20) column 4, 1 fine 12-17 column 5, 1 fine 12-39 claims 1-3; figures 1,3 A. US 5 215 701 A (60ULD ARNOLD S ET AL) 1 June 1993 (1993-06-01) column 2, 1 fine 50-63; figure 1		•					
Moreon occumentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 G01N	According t	o International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification	ation and IPC				
Decommentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search farms used) EPO—Internal, WPI Data C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Catagory Castion of occument, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. 200 December 1997 (1997–12–30) 1–9 1–							
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search ferms used) EPO-Internal, WPI Data C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. A US 5 703 927 A (URBANO ROLAND ET AL) 30 December 1997 (1997-12-30) column 1, line 34 - Column 2, line 67 column 5, line 34-45 A US 5 630 989 A (SOLAZZI MONTE J) 20 May 1997 (1997-05-20) column 4, line 12-39 claims 1-3; figures 1,3 A US 5 215 701 A (GOULD ARNOLD S ET AL) 1 June 1993 (1993-06-01) column 2, line 50-63; figure 1 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. S Special categories of cited documents: "A document which may have obubts on promy claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or dotter special resolution size of another citation or dotter special resolution size of another citation or dotter special resolution size of another citation and other special resolution size of another citation of other special resolution size of special siz	1		on symbols)				
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search ferms used) EPO-Internal, WPI Data C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. A US 5 703 927 A (URBANO ROLAND ET AL) 30 December 1997 (1997-12-30) column 1, line 34 - Column 2, line 67 column 5, line 34-45 A US 5 630 989 A (SOLAZZI MONTE J) 20 May 1997 (1997-05-20) column 4, line 12-39 claims 1-3; figures 1,3 A US 5 215 701 A (GOULD ARNOLD S ET AL) 1 June 1993 (1993-06-01) column 2, line 50-63; figure 1 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. S Special categories of cited documents: "A document which may have obubts on promy claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or dotter special resolution size of another citation or dotter special resolution size of another citation or dotter special resolution size of another citation and other special resolution size of another citation of other special resolution size of special siz							
EPO-Internal, WPI Data C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with addication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No US 5 703 927 A (URBANO ROLAND ET AL) 3.0 December 1997 (1997-12-30) column 1, line 34 -column 2, line 67 column 5, line 34-45 A US 5 630 989 A (SOLAZZI MONTE J) 2.0 May 1997 (1997-05-20) column 4, line 12-17 column 5, line 12-39 claims 1-3; figures 1,3 A US 5 215 701 A (GOULD ARNOLD S ET AL) 1 June 1993 (1993-06-01) column 2, line 50-63; figure 1 Patent family members are listed in annex. *Special categories of cited documents: "A cocument of patricipal relevance in the claim of the set of patricipal relevance in the claim of the set of patricipal relevance in the claim of the set of patricipal relevance in the claim of the set of patricipal relevance in the claim of the set of patricipal relevance in the claim of the set of patricipal relevance in the claim of the set of patricipal relevance in the claim of the set of patricipal relevance in the claim of the set of patricipal relevance in the claim of the set of patricipal relevance in the claim of the set of patricipal relevance in the claim of the set of patricipal relevance in the claim of the set of patricipal relevance in the claim of the set of the set of patricipal relevance in the claim of the set of the claim of the set of the claim of the set of the	Documenta	tion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that s	uch documents are included in the fields se	arched			
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Catagory* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No US 5 703 927 A (URBANO ROLAND ET AL) 30 December 1997 (1997–12–30) column 1, 1 fine 34 – column 2, 1 line 67 column 5, 1 ine 34–45 A US 5 630 989 A (SOLAZZI MONTE J) 20 May 1997 (1997–05–20) column 4, 1 fine 12–17 column 5, 1 ine 12–39 claims 1–3; figures 1,3 A US 5 215 701 A (GOULD ARNOLD S ET AL) 1 June 1993 (1993–06–01) column 2, 1 line 50–63; figure 1 **Special categories of cited documents: "A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of patricular relevance in the publication and in conflict with the application but invention in the cell of earthful the publication of an experience of the cell of earthful the publication date of another chains or other special reason (as specified) of document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other relevant referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other relevant referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other relevant referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other relevant referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other relevant referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other relevant referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) Out of the relevant referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) The deciment referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) Coulement referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) The deciment referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) The deciment referring to a rore other such documents, such combination being divisor to a person skelled but the deciment of the international state of the annual point of the international state of the annual point of the international s	Electronic o	ata base consulted during the international search (name of data ba	se and, where practical, search terms used)			
Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No US 5 703 927 A (URBANO ROLAND ET AL) 30 December 1997 (1997–12–30) column 1, line 34 –column 2, line 67 column 5, line 34–45 A US 5 630 989 A (SOLAZZI MONTE J) 20 May 1997 (1997–05–20) column 4, line 12–17 column 5, line 12–39 claims 1–3; figures 1,3 A US 5 215 701 A (GOULD ARNOLD S ET AL) 1 June 1993 (1993–06–01) column 2, line 50–63; figure 1 **Special categories of cited documents: *A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance *E' earlier document bubblished on or after the international filing date *L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or other special reson (as specified) *C' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *P' document published prior to the international filing date but late it fain the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 20 November 2002 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Palent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 N 2200 MY Figury (A. 2002 Authorized officer **Counter of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered nove for combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. **St document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search point of the international search report 20 November 2002 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Palent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 N 2200 MY Figury (A. 2002 Authorized officer **Authorized officer **Authorized officer **Authorized officer **Authorized officer **Authorized officer	EPO-In	ternal, WPI Data					
Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No US 5 703 927 A (URBANO ROLAND ET AL) 30 December 1997 (1997–12–30) column 1, line 34 –column 2, line 67 column 5, line 34–45 A US 5 630 989 A (SOLAZZI MONTE J) 20 May 1997 (1997–05–20) column 4, line 12–17 column 5, line 12–39 claims 1–3; figures 1,3 A US 5 215 701 A (GOULD ARNOLD S ET AL) 1 June 1993 (1993–06–01) column 2, line 50–63; figure 1 **Special categories of cited documents: *A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance *E' earlier document bubblished on or after the international filing date *L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or other special reson (as specified) *C' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *P' document published prior to the international filing date but late it fain the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 20 November 2002 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Palent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 N 2200 MY Figury (A. 2002 Authorized officer **Counter of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered nove for combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. **St document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search point of the international search report 20 November 2002 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Palent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 N 2200 MY Figury (A. 2002 Authorized officer **Authorized officer **Authorized officer **Authorized officer **Authorized officer **Authorized officer	C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT					
30 December 1997 (1997–12–30) column 1, line 34 – column 2, line 67 column 5, line 34–45 A US 5 630 989 A (SOLAZZI MONTE J) 20 May 1997 (1997–05–20) column 4, line 12–17 column 5, line 12–39 claims 1–3; figures 1,3 A US 5 215 701 A (GOULD ARNOLD S ET AL) 1 June 1993 (1993–06–01) column 2, line 50–63; figure 1 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. *Special categories of cited documents: "A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E earlier document but published on or after the international filling date of priority date and not in conflict with the application but inconsidered to be of particular relevance. The claimed invention of other special reason (as specified in conflict with the application but involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone in the art. "P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 20 November 2002 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Palent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentian 2 Nut-2280 IVP Bigway. Authorized officer			evant passages	Relevant to claim No.			
A US 5 630 989 A (SOLAZZI MONTE J) 20 May 1997 (1997-05-20) column 4, line 12-17 column 5, line 12-39 claims 1-3; figures 1,3 A US 5 215 701 A (GOULD ARNOLD S ET AL) 1 June 1993 (1993-06-01) column 2, line 50-63; figure 1 *Special categories of cited documents: *A' document defining the general state of the ant which is not considered to be of particular relevance *I's earlier document but published on or after the international filing date *I'd document interring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) *O'd document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) *O'd document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) *O'd document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) *O'd document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) *O'd document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) *O'd document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) *O'd document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) *O'd document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *O'd document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *O'd document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *O'd document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *O'd document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *O'd document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) *O'd document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *O'd document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *O'd document principle or inversion but the document is taken alone, and the document is taken alone or or other such accument or the	A	30 December 1997 (1997-12-30) column 1, line 34 -column 2, line		1-9			
A US 5 215 701 A (GOULD ARNOLD S ET AL) 1 June 1993 (1993–06–01) column 2, line 50–63; figure 1 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. *Special categories of cited documents: A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance E earlier document but published on or after the international filing date 'Y document which may throw doubts on priority, claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) 'Y document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 'P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 20 November 2002 Name and mailling address of the ISA European Paleint Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2260 HV Pilswijk N	A	US 5 630 989 A (SOLAZZI MONTE J) 20 May 1997 (1997-05-20) column 4, line 12-17 column 5, line 12-39		1-9			
*Special categories of cited documents: 'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance 'E' earlier document but published on or after the international filing date 'L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) 'O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 'P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 20 November 2002 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijsswijk Total 2018 Authorized officer 'T' later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention of the document invention or another onsidered to invention or another onsidered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. '&' document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 02/12/2002 Authorized officer	A	US 5 215 701 A (GOULD ARNOLD S E 1 June 1993 (1993-06-01)	ET AL)	1-9			
*Special categories of cited documents: 'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance 'E' earlier document but published on or after the international filing date 'L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) 'O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 'P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 20 November 2002 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Total 2018 Authorized officer 'T' later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention of the document invention or anothe considered to invention or another obsidered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. '&' document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report 02/12/2002 Authorized officer							
'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance 'E' earlier document but published on or after the international filing date 'L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) 'O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 'P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of the actual completion of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tele 20 No Vem Der 2002 Table 20 No Vem Der 2004 Table 20 No Vem Der 2005 Table 20 No Vem Der 2005 Table 20 No Vem Der 2006 Table 20 No Vem Der 2007 Table 20 No Vem Der 2007 Table 20 No Vem Der 2006 Table 20 No Vem Der 2006 Table 20 No Vem Der 2007 Table 20 No Vem Der 20 No Vem Der 2007 Table 20 No Vem Der 2007 Table 20 No Vem Der 2007	Furl	her documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	X Patent family members are listed	in annex.			
20 November 2002 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel (52.73) 200 Th. 21.651 and pl.	'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance 'E' earlier document but published on or after the international filing date 'L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) 'O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 'P' document published after the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed 'I' later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention 'X' document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. '&' document member of the same patent family						
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel (12.70) 210 2000 Tel 21 551 and pl							
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016 Huenges, A	Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,						

\$5.1

5

		• • •

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/IB 02/00701

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US 5703927	A	30-12-1997	US AU EP WO	5351281 A 6634394 A 0801739 A1 9424547 A1	27-09-1994 08-11-1994 22-10-1997 27-10-1994
US 5630989	Α	20-05-1997	US	5451375 A	19-09-1995
US 5215701	Α	01-06-1993	US	5001354 A	19-03-1991

				•
				·
			·	
·				

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

1 U APR 2003	From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU				
PCT	То:				
NOTIFICATION OF THE RECORDING OF A CHANGE (PCT Rule 92bis.1 and Administrative Instructions, Section 422) Date of mailing (day/month/year)	BAKKER, Hendrik Internationaal Octrooibureau B.V. Prof. Holstlaan 6 NL-5656 AA Eindhoven Netherlands				
31 March 2003 (31.03.03)					
Applicant's or agent's file reference PHNL010126WO G21716WO	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION				
International application No. PCT/IB02/00701	International filing date (day/month/year) 05 March 2002 (05.03.02)				
The following indications appeared on record concerning: The applicant the inventor	the agent the common representative				
Name and Address KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V. Groenewoudseweg 1 NL-5621 BA Eindhoven Netherlands	State of Nationality State of Residence NL NL Telephone No. +31 40 2743444 Facsimile No.				
	+31 40 2743489 Teleprinter No.				
2. The International Bureau hereby notifies the applicant that the person X the name X the add					
Name and Address PANALYTICAL B.V. Lelyweg 1 NL-7602 EA Almelo Netherlands	State of Nationality NL Telephone No. +31 40 2743444 Facsimile No. +31 40 2743489				
	Teleprinter No.				
3. Further observations, if necessary:					
4. A copy of this notification has been sent to: X the receiving Office the International Searching Authority the International Preliminary Examining Authority	X the designated Offices concerned the elected Offices concerned other:				
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Silvie STENDER				
Facsimile No. (41-22) 740.14.35	Telephone No. (41-22) 338 9926				

.